arXiv:0808.2995v2 [math.RT] 25 Aug 2008

NILPOTENT ORBITS IN CLASSICAL LIE ALGEBRAS OVER $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ AND THE SPRINGER CORRESPONDENCE

TING XUE

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{k}$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, $\mathbf{F}_q$ denotes a finite field of characteristic 2 and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ denotes an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_q$.

In [2], Hesselink determines the nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebras under the adjoint action of classical groups over $\mathbf{k}$. In [8], Spaltenstein gives a parametrization of these nilpotent orbits by pairs of partitions. We extend Hesselink's method to study the nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebras of orthogonal groups over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Using this extension and Spaltenstein's parametrization we classify the nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$. We determine also the structure of the group of components of the centralizers. In particular, we obtain the number of nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. When the characteristic of the field is large enough, Springer [10] constructs representations of the Weyl group of G which are related to the nilpotent G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$. Lusztig [4] constructs the generalized Springer correspondence in all characteristics which is related to the unipotent conjugacy classes in G. Let G_{ad} be an adjoint algebraic group of type B, C or D over $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ be the Lie algebra of G_{ad}. We use a similar construction as in [4, 5] to give the Springer correspondence for $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where c is a nilpotent G_{ad}-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an irreducible G_{ad}-equivariant local system on c (up to isomorphism). We construct a bijective map from the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the Weyl group of G_{ad} to the set $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$. In the case of symplectic group a Springer correspondence (with a different definition than ours) has been established in [3]; in that case centralizers of the nilpotent elements are connected [8]. A complicating feature in the orthogonal case is the existence of non-trivial equivariant local systems on a nilpotent orbit.

Most of the results in this paper have been announced in [13].

2. Hesselink's classification of nilpotent orbits over an algebraically closed field

We recall Hesselink's results about orthogonal groups in this section(see [2]). Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 2, not necessarily algebraically closed.

2.1. A form space V is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ equipped with a quadratic form $Q : V \to \mathbb{K}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be the bilinear form $\langle v, w \rangle = Q(v+w) + Q(v) + Q(w)$. Let $V^\perp = \{v \in V | \langle v, w \rangle = 0, \forall\, w \in V\}$. A form space V is called non-defective if $V^\perp = \{0\}$, otherwise, it is called defective. V is called non-degenerate if $\dim(V^\perp) \leq 1$ and $Q(v) \neq 0$ for all non-zero $v \in V^\perp$.

Let V be a non-degenerate form space of dimension N over $\mathbb{K}$. Define the orthogonal group $O(V)$ to be $\{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) | Q(gv) = Q(v),\ \forall\ v \in V\}$ and define $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ to be $\{x \in \mathrm{End}(V) | \langle xv, v\rangle = 0,\ \forall\ v \in V \text{ and } \mathrm{tr}(x) = 0\}$. In the case where $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed, let $SO(V)$ be the identity component of $O(V)$ and we define $O_N(\mathbb{K})$, $\mathfrak{o}_N(\mathbb{K})$ and $SO_N(\mathbb{K})$ to be $O(V)$, $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ and $SO(V)$ respectively.

2.2. Define a form module to be a pair (V, T) where V is a non-degenerate form space and T is a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. To study the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ is equivalent to classify all the form modules (V, T) on the form space V. Let $A = \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series in the indeterminate t. The form module $V = (V, T)$ is considered as an A-module by $(\sum a_n t^n)v = \sum a_n T^n v$. Let E be the vector space spanned by the linear functionals $t^{-n} : A \to \mathbb{K},\ \sum a_i t^i \mapsto a_n,\ n \geq 0$. Let E_0 be the subspace $\sum \mathbb{K}t^{-2n}$. Denote $\pi_0 : E \to E_0$ the projection. E is considered as an A-module by $(au)(b) = u(ab)$ for $a, b \in A, u \in E$.

An abstract form module is defined to be an A-module V with $\dim(V) < \infty$, which is equipped with mappings $\varphi : V \times V \to E$ and $\psi : V \to E_0$ satisfying the following axioms:

(i) The map $\varphi(\cdot, w)$ is A-linear for every $w \in V$.
(ii) $\varphi(v, w) = \varphi(w, v)$ for all $v, w \in V$.
(iii) $\varphi(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.
(vi) $\psi(v + w) = \psi(v) + \psi(w) + \pi_0(\varphi(v, w))$ for all $v, w \in V$.
(v) $\psi(av) = a^2\psi(v)$ for $v \in V,\ a \in A$.

The following proposition identifies a form module (V, T) and the corresponding abstract form module $V = (V, \varphi, \psi)$.

Proposition 2.1. [2] *If (V, φ, ψ) is an abstract form module then $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, Q)$ given by* (i) *is a form module. If $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, Q)$ is a form module there is a unique abstract form module (V, φ, ψ) such that* (i) *holds; it is given by* (ii).

$$\text{(i) } \langle v, w\rangle = \varphi(v, w)(1),\ Q(v) = \psi(v)(1).$$
$$\text{(ii) } \varphi(v, w) = \sum \langle t^n v, w\rangle t^{-n},\ \psi(v) = \sum Q(t^n v)t^{-2n}.$$

2.3. An element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ is nilpotent if and only if it is nilpotent in $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Let T be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. There exists a unique sequence of integers $p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_s \geq 1$ and a family of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ such that $T^{p_i}v_i = 0$ and the vectors $T^{q_i}v_i$, $0 \leq q_i \leq p_i - 1$ form a basis of V. We write $p(V, T) = (p_1, \ldots, p_s)$. Define the index function $\chi(V, T) : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $\chi(V, T)(m) = \min\{k \geq 0 | T^m v = 0 \Rightarrow Q(T^k v) = 0\}$. Define $\mu(V)$ to be the minimal integer $m \geq 0$ with $t^m V = 0$. For $v \in V$ we define $\mu(v) = \mu(Av)$.

2.4. Let V be a form module. An orthogonal decomposition of V is an expression of V as a direct sum $V = \sum_{i=1}^{r} V_i$ of mutually orthogonal submodules V_i. The form module V is called indecomposable if $V \neq 0$ and for every orthogonal decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ we have $V_1 = 0$ or $V_2 = 0$. Every form module V has some orthogonal decomposition $V = \sum_{i=1}^{r} V_i$ in indecomposable submodules $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$. The indecomposable modules are classified as follows.

Proposition 2.2. [2] *Let V be a non-degenerate indecomposable form module. There exist $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $V = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ and $\mu(v_1) \geq \mu(v_2)$. For any such pair we put $m = \mu(v_1), m' = \mu(v_2), \Phi = \varphi(v_1, v_2)$ and $\Psi_i = \psi(v_i)$. One of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $m' = \mu(\Phi) = m,\ \mu(\Psi_i) \leq 2m - 1.$

(ii) $m' = \mu(\Phi) = m - 1,\ \mu(\Psi_1) = 2m - 1 > \mu(\Psi_2).$

Conversely, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $m' \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*,* $\Phi \in E$*,* $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in E_0$ *be given satisfying* (i) *or* (ii)*. Up to a canonical isomorphism there exists a unique form module* $V = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ *with* $m = \mu(v_1), m' = \mu(v_2), \Phi = \varphi(v_1, v_2)$ *and* $\Psi_i = \psi(v_i)$*. This form module is indecomposable. In case* (i) *it is non-defective. In case* (ii) *it is defective and non-degenerate.*

From now on assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. The indecomposable modules in Proposition 2.2 are normalized in [2] section 3.4 and 3.5 as follows.

Proposition 2.3. [2] *The indecomposable non-degenerate form modules over* $\mathbb{K}$ *are*

(i) $W_l(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2, [\frac{m+1}{2}] \leq l \leq m,\ \mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m,\ \psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}$, $\psi(v_2) = 0$ *and* $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$*; (*$[\alpha]$ *means the integer part of* α*.)*

(ii) $D(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2,\ \mu(v_1) = m, \mu(v_2) = m - 1,\ \psi(v_1) = t^{2-2m},\ \psi(v_2) = 0$ *and* $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{2-m}$*.*

We have $\chi_{W_l(m)} = [m; l]$ *and* $\chi_{D(m)} = [m; m]$*, where* $[m; l] : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *is defined by* $[m; l](n) = \max\{0, \min\{n - m + l, l\}\}$*. Among these types of indecomposable modules only the types* $D(m)$ *are defective.*

Remark 2.4. We use slightly different notation with [2]. The form module $W_{[\frac{m+1}{2}]}(m)$ in (i) is isomorphic to the form module $W(m)$ in [2].

Finally this normalization of indecomposable modules can be used to classify all the form modules. Let $V = (V, T)$ be a non-degenerate from module with $p(V, T) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ where $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k \geq 1$ and index function $\chi = \chi(V, T)$. Let $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be the multiplicity of λ_i in $p(V, T)$. The isomorphism class of V is determined by the symbol

$$S(V, T) = (\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)} (\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)} \cdots (\lambda_k)^{m_k}_{\chi(\lambda_k)}.$$

A symbol S is the symbol of an isomorphism class of non-degenerate form modules if and only if it satisfies the following conditions

(i) $\chi(\lambda_i) \geq \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$ and $\lambda_i - \chi(\lambda_i) \geq \lambda_{i+1} - \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$;

(ii) $\frac{\lambda_i}{2} \leq \chi(\lambda_i) \leq \lambda_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$;

(iii) $\chi(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i$ if m_i is odd, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$;

(iv) $\{\lambda_i | m_i \text{ odd }\} = \{m, m - 1\} \cap \mathbb{N}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the following we denote by a symbol either a form module in the isomorphism class or the corresponding nilpotent orbit.

3. INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES OVER $\mathbf{F}_q$

Note that the classification of the indecomposable modules (Proposition 2.2) is valid over any field. Similar to [2] Section 3.5, we first normalize the non-degenerate indecomposable form modules over $\mathbf{F}_q$ as follows. Fix an element δ in $\mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\delta \notin \{x^2 + x | x \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The non-degenerate indecomposable form modules over* $\boldsymbol{F}_q$ *are*

(i) $W^0_l(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2,\ [\frac{m+1}{2}] \leq l \leq m$*, with* $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m,\ \psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}, \psi(v_2) = 0$ *and* $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$*;*

(ii) $W^\delta_l(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2,\ \frac{m+1}{2} \leq l \leq m$*, with* $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m,\ \psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}$, $\psi(v_2) = \delta t^{2l-2m}$ *and* $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$*;*

(iii) *$D(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ with $\mu(v_1) = m, \mu(v_2) = m-1$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2m}$, $\psi(v_2) = 0$ and $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{2-m}$.*

We have $\chi_{W_l^0(m)} = \chi_{W_l^\delta(m)} = [m; l]$ and $\chi_{D(m)} = [m; m]$. Among these types only the types $D(m)$ are defective.

Proof. As pointed out in [2], the form modules in Proposition 2.2 (ii) over $\mathbf{F}_q$ can be normalized the same as in Proposition 2.3 (ii). Namely, there exist v_1 and v_2 such that the above modules have the form (iii).

Now let $U(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ be a form module as in Proposition 2.2 (i) with $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m$, we can assume $\mu(\Psi_1) \geq \mu(\Psi_2)$. We have the following cases:

Case 1: $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = 0$. Can assume $\Phi = t^{1-m}$. Let $\tilde{v}_1 = v_1 + t^{m+1-2[\frac{m+1}{2}]}v_2, \tilde{v}_2 = v_2$, then we have $\psi(\tilde{v}_1) = t^{2-2[\frac{m+1}{2}]}$, $\psi(\tilde{v}_2) = 0$, $\varphi(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2) = t^{1-m}$.

Case 2: $\Psi_1 \neq 0$, $\Psi_2 = 0$. Can assume $\Phi = t^{1-m}$ and $\Psi_1 = t^{-2l}$, where $l \leq m-1$. If $l < [\frac{m-1}{2}]$, let $\tilde{v}_1 = v_1 + t^{m+1-2[\frac{m+1}{2}]}v_2 + t^{m-2l-1}v_2$, $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2$; otherwise, let $\tilde{v}_1 = v_1$, $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2$. Then we get $\psi(\tilde{v}_1) = t^{-2l}$, $[\frac{m-1}{2}] \leq l \leq m-1$, $\psi(\tilde{v}_2) = 0$, $\varphi(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2) = t^{1-m}$.

Case 3: $\Psi_1 \neq 0$, $\Psi_2 \neq 0$. Can assume $\Psi_1 = t^{-2l_1}$, $\Phi = t^{1-m}$, $\Psi_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{l_2} a_i t^{-2i}$ with $l_2 \leq l_1 \leq m-1$.

(1) $l_1 < [\frac{m}{2}]$, let $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i t^i v_1$, then $\psi(\tilde{v}_2) = 0$ has solution for x_i's. Then we get to Case 2.
(2) $l_1 \geq [\frac{m}{2}]$, let $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i t^i v_1$. If $a_{m-l_1-1} \in \{x^2 + x | x \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$, then $\psi(\tilde{v}_2) = 0$ has solution for x_i's and we get to Case 2. If $a_{m-l_1-1} \notin \{x^2 + x | x \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$, then $\psi(\tilde{v}_2) = \delta t^{-2(m-l_1-1)}$ has solution for x_i's.

Summarizing Cases 1-3, we have normalized $U(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ with $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m$ as follows:

(i) $[\frac{m+1}{2}] \leq \chi(m) = l \leq m$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}$, $\psi(v_2) = 0$, $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$, denote by $W_l^0(m)$.
(ii) $\frac{m+1}{2} \leq \chi(m) = l \leq m$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}$, $\psi(v_2) = \delta t^{-2(m-l)}$, $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$, denote by $W_l^\delta(m)$.

One can verify that these form modules are not isomorphic to each other. □

Remark 3.2. It follows that the isomorphism class of the form module $W_l(m)$ over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ remains one isomorphism class over $\mathbf{F}_q$ when $l = \frac{m}{2}$ and decomposes into two isomorphism classes $W_l^0(m)$ and $W_l^\delta(m)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ when $l \neq \frac{m}{2}$.

4. NILPOTENT ORBITS OVER $\mathbf{F}_q$

In this section we study the nilpotent orbits in the orthogonal Lie algebras over $\mathbf{F}_q$ by extending the method in [2]. Let V be a non-degenerate form space over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$. An isomorphism class of form modules on V over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ may decompose into several isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let W be a form module $(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)} \cdots (\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$ on the form space V. Denote by n_1 the cardinality of $\{1 \leq i \leq s-1 | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$ and by n_2 the cardinality of $\{1 \leq i \leq s | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$ (here define $\chi(\lambda_{s+1}) = 0$).*

(i) *If V is defective, the isomorphism class of W over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ decomposes into at most 2^{n_1} isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_q$.*

(ii) *If V is non-defective, the isomorphism class of W over $\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_q$ decomposes into at most 2^{n_2} isomorphism classes over $\boldsymbol{F}_q$.*

Note that we have two types of non-defective form spaces of dimension $2n$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, V^+ with a quadratic form of Witt index n and V^- with a quadratic form of Witt index $n-1$. We denote $O(V^+)$ $(O(V^-))$ by $O^+_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ $(\ O^-_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q))$ and $\mathfrak{o}(V^+)$ $(\mathfrak{o}(V^-))$ by $\mathfrak{o}^+_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ $(\mathfrak{o}^-_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q))$ respectively. Let $SO^+_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q)=O^+_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q)\cap SO_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$. The form modules on V^+(resp. V^-) have orthogonal decompositions $W_{l_1}^{\epsilon_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus W_{l_k}^{\epsilon_k}(\lambda_k)$ with $\#\{1\leq i\leq k|\epsilon_i=\delta\}$ being even (resp. odd).

Corollary 4.2. (i) *The nilpotent $O_{2n+1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_q)$-orbit $(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}\cdots(\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$ in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_q)$ decomposes into at most 2^{n_1} $O_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$.*

(ii) *If $\chi(\lambda_i)=\lambda_i/2$, $i=1,\ldots,s$, the nilpotent $O_{2n}(\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_q)$-orbit $(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}\cdots(\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$ in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}(\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}_q)$ remains one $O^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbit in $\mathfrak{o}^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$; otherwise, it decomposes into at most 2^{n_2-1} $O^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ and at most 2^{n_2-1} $O^-_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}^-_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$.*

Here n_1,n_2 are as in Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.3. In Corollary 4.2 (ii), if $\chi(\lambda_i)=\lambda_i/2$, $i=1,\ldots,s$, then n is even; if $\chi(\lambda_i)\neq\lambda_i/2$ for some i, then $n_2\geq 1$.

Before we prove Proposition 4.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. (i) *Assume $k\geq m$ and $l\geq m$. We have $W_l^0(k)\oplus D(m)\cong W_l^\delta(k)\oplus D(m)$ if and only if $l+m>k$.*

(ii) *Assume $l_1\geq l_2,\lambda_1-l_1\geq\lambda_2-l_2$. If $l_1+l_2>\lambda_1$, then $W^0_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^0_{l_2}(\lambda_2)\cong W^\delta_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^\delta_{l_2}(\lambda_2)$ and $W^0_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^\delta_{l_2}(\lambda_2)\cong W^\delta_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^0_{l_2}(\lambda_2)$.*

(iii) *Assume $l_1\geq l_2,\lambda_1-l_1\geq\lambda_2-l_2$. If $l_1+l_2\leq\lambda_1$, then $W^{\epsilon_1}_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^{\epsilon_2}_{l_2}(\lambda_2)\cong W^{\epsilon_1'}_{l_1}(\lambda_1)\oplus W^{\epsilon_2'}_{l_2}(\lambda_2)$ if and only if $\epsilon_1=\epsilon_1',\epsilon_2=\epsilon_2'$, where $\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i'=0$ or δ, $i=1,2$.*

(iv) *Assume $m>k$, we have $D(m)\oplus W^0_k(k)\cong D(m)\oplus W^\delta_k(k)$.*

Proof. We only prove (i), (ii)-(iv) are proved similarly. Assume the form module $W_l^0(k)\oplus D(m)$ corresponds to the nilpotent element T in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. Take v_1,w_1 and v_2,w_2 such that $W_l^0(k)\oplus D(m)=Av_1\oplus Aw_1\oplus Av_2\oplus Aw_2$ and $\psi(v_1)=t^{2-2l},\psi(w_1)=0,\varphi(v_1,w_1)=t^{1-k},\psi(v_2)=t^{2-2m},\psi(w_2)=0,\varphi(v_2,w_2)=t^{2-m},\varphi(v_1,v_2)=\varphi(v_1,w_2)=\varphi(w_1,v_2)=\varphi(w_1,w_2)=0$. Similarly, assume the form module $W_l^\delta(k)\oplus D(m)$ corresponds to the nilpotent element T' in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. Take v_1',w_1' and v_2',w_2' such that $W_l^\delta(k)\oplus D(m)=Av_1'\oplus Aw_1'\oplus Av_2'\oplus Aw_2'$ and $\psi(v_1')=t^{2-2l},\psi(w_1')=\delta t^{2l-2k},\varphi(v_1',w_1')=t^{1-k},\psi(v_2')=t^{2-2m},\psi(w_2')=0,\varphi(v_2',w_2')=t^{2-m},\varphi(v_1',v_2')=\varphi(v_1',w_2')=\varphi(w_1',v_2')=\varphi(w_1',w_2')=0$.

We have $W_l^0(k)\oplus D(m)\cong W_l^\delta(k)\oplus D(m)$ if and only if there exists a linear isomorphism $g:V\rightarrow V$ such that $T'gv=gTv$ and $\psi(gv)=\psi(v),\varphi(gv,gw)=\varphi(v,w)$ for any $v,w\in V$. Assume

$$gv_j=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(a_{j,i}t^iv_1'+b_{j,i}t^iw_1')+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}c_{j,i}t^iv_2'+\sum_{i=0}^{m-2}d_{j,i}t^iw_2',$$

$$gw_j=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(e_{j,i}t^iv_1'+f_{j,i}t^iw_1')+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}g_{j,i}t^iv_2'+\sum_{i=0}^{m-2}h_{j,i}t^iw_2',j=1,2.$$

Then $W_l^0(k)\oplus D(m)\cong W_l^\delta(k)\oplus D(m)$ if and only if the equations $\psi(gv_i)=\psi(v_i)$, $\psi(gw_i)=\psi(w_i),\varphi(gv_i,gv_j)=\varphi(v_i,v_j),\varphi(gv_i,gw_j)=\varphi(v_i,w_j),\varphi(gw_i,gw_j)=\varphi(w_i,w_j)$ have solutions.

If $l+m \le k$, some equations are $e_{1,2l-k-1}^2 + e_{1,2l-k-1} = \delta$ ($l \neq \frac{k+1}{2}$) or $a_{1,0}^2 + a_{1,0}b_{1,0} = 1, e_{1,0}^2 + e_{1,0}f_{1,0} = \delta, a_{1,0}f_{1,0} + b_{1,0}e_{1,0} = 1$ ($l = \frac{k+1}{2}$). By the definition of δ, this has no solution for $e_{1,2l-k-1}$ or $a_{1,0}, b_{1,0}, e_{1,0}, f_{1,0}$, which implies that $W_l^0(k) \oplus D(m) \ncong W_l^\delta(k) \oplus D(m)$.

If $l+m > k$, let $gv_1 = v_1', gw_1 = w_1' + \sqrt{\delta}t^{l+m-k-1}v_2', gv_2 = v_2', gw_2 = w_2' + \sqrt{\delta}t^l v_1'$, and $gt^i v_j = t^i gv_j, gt^i w_j = t^i gw_j$, then this is a solution for the equations. It follows that $W_l^0(k) \oplus D(m) \cong W_l^\delta(k) \oplus D(m)$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We prove (i). One can prove (ii) similarly.

Let V be a non-degenerate and defective form space, then dim$V$ is odd, say $2n+1$. By the classification in subsection 2.4, we can rewrite the symbol by $(\lambda_1)^2_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^2_{\chi(\lambda_2)}\cdots(\lambda_k)^2_{\chi(\lambda_k)}(\lambda_{k+1})_{\lambda_{k+1}}(\lambda_{k+1}-1)_{(\lambda_{k+1}-1)}(\lambda_{k+2})^2_{\lambda_{k+2}}\cdots(\lambda_{k+l})^2_{\lambda_{k+l}}$, where $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_{k+2} \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{k+l}$, $\chi(\lambda_i) \ge \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$ and $\lambda_i - \chi(\lambda_i) \ge \lambda_{i+1} - \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$ for $1 \le i \le k$(by abuse of notation, we still use λ), $l \ge 1$. A representative W for this isomorphism class over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ is $W_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\chi(\lambda_k)}(\lambda_k) \oplus D(\lambda_{k+1}) \oplus W_{\lambda_{k+2}}(\lambda_{k+2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\lambda_{k+l}}(\lambda_{k+l})$. By Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, to study the isomorphism classes into which the isomorphism class of W over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ decomposes over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it is enough to study the isomorphism classes of form modules of the form $W^{\epsilon_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W^{\epsilon_k}_{\chi(\lambda_k)}(\lambda_k) \oplus D(\lambda_{k+1}) \oplus W^{\epsilon_{k+2}}_{\lambda_{k+2}}(\lambda_{k+2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus W^{\epsilon_{k+l}}_{\lambda_{k+l}}(\lambda_{k+l})$, where $\epsilon_i = 0$ or δ. Thus to prove (i) is equivalent to show modules of the above form have at most 2^{n_1} isomorphism classes.

We have $n_1 = \#\{1 \le i \le k | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \le \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$. Suppose $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n_1}$ are such that $1 \le i_j \le k, \chi(\lambda_{i_j}) + \chi(\lambda_{i_j+1}) \le \lambda_{i_j},\ \chi(\lambda_{i_j}) \neq \lambda_{i_j}/2, j = 1, \ldots, n_1$. Then using Lemma 4.4 one can easily show that modules of the above form is isomorphic to one of the following modules: $V_1^{\epsilon_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_1}^{\epsilon_{n_1}} \oplus V_{n_1+1}$, where $V_t^{\epsilon_t} = W^0_{\chi(\lambda_{i_{t-1}+1})}(\lambda_{i_{t-1}+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus W^0_{\chi(\lambda_{i_t-1})}(\lambda_{i_t-1}) \oplus W^{\epsilon_t}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_t})}(\lambda_{i_t})$, $t = 1, \ldots, n_1$, $i_0 = 0$, $\epsilon_t = 0$ or δ and $V_{n_1+1} = W^0_{\chi(\lambda_{i_{n_1}+1})}(\lambda_{i_{n_1}+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus W^0_{\chi(\lambda_k)}(\lambda_k) \oplus D(\lambda_{k+1}) \oplus W^0_{\lambda_{k+2}}(\lambda_{k+2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus W^0_{\lambda_{k+l}}(\lambda_{k+l})$. Thus (i) is proved. □

5. Number of nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$

5.1. In this subsection we recall Spaltenstein's parametrization of nilpotent orbits by pairs of partitions when the base field is algebraically closed, see [8]. For $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$, the orbit $(\lambda_1)^2_{\chi(\lambda_1)}\cdots(\lambda_k)^2_{\chi(\lambda_k)}(\lambda_{k+1})_{\lambda_{k+1}}(\lambda_{k+1}-1)_{(\lambda_{k+1}-1)}(\lambda_{k+2})^2_{\lambda_{k+2}}\cdots(\lambda_{k+l})^2_{\lambda_{k+l}}$ is written as $(\alpha_1+\beta_1)^2_{(\alpha_1+1)}\cdots(\alpha_k+\beta_k)^2_{(\alpha_k+1)}(\alpha_{k+1}+1)_{(\alpha_{k+1}+1)}(\alpha_{k+1})_{\alpha_{k+1}}(\alpha_{k+2})^2_{\alpha_{k+2}}\cdots(\alpha_{k+l})^2_{\alpha_{k+l}}$ and the corresponding pair of partitions is (α, β), where $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_{k+l}), \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ satisfies $\alpha_1 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_{k+l} \ge 0,\ \beta_1 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_k \ge 0$ and $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n$. For $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$, the orbit $(\lambda_1)^2_{\chi(\lambda_1)}\cdots(\lambda_k)^2_{\chi(\lambda_k)}$ is written as $(\alpha_1+\beta_1)^2_{\alpha_1}\cdots(\alpha_k+\beta_k)^2_{\alpha_k}$ and the corresponding pair of partitions is (α, β), where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k),\ \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ satisfies $\alpha_1 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_k \ge 1,\ \beta_1 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_k \ge 0$ and $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n$.

5.2. In this subsection we count the number of nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Denote by $p_2(n)$ the cardinality of the set of pairs of partitions (α, β) such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n$ and $p(k)$ the number of partitions of the integer k.

Proposition 5.1. (i) *The number of nilpotent $O_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is at most $p_2(n)$.*

(ii) *The number of nilpotent $O_{2n}^{+}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^{+}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)$ if n is odd and is at most $\frac{1}{2}(p_2(n)+p(\frac{n}{2}))$ if n is even.*

Proof. (i) The nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ are mapped bijectively to the set $\{(\alpha,\beta)||\alpha|+|\beta|=n,\beta_i\leq\alpha_i+2\}:=\Delta([8])$. By Corollary 4.2 (i), a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ corresponding to $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Delta,\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s),\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_t)$ splits into at most 2^{n_1} orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, where $n_1=\#\{1\leq i\leq t|\alpha_{i+1}+2\leq\beta_i<\alpha_i+2\}$. We associate to this orbit 2^{n_1} pairs of partitions as follows. Suppose $r_1,r_2,...,r_{n_1}$ are such that $\alpha_{r_i+1}+2\leq\beta_{r_i}<\alpha_{r_i}+2,i=1,...,n_1$. Let $\alpha^{1,i}=(\alpha_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\alpha_{r_i}),\beta^{1,i}=(\beta_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\beta_{r_i}),\alpha^{2,i}=(\beta_{r_{i-1}+1}-2,\dots,\beta_{r_i}-2),\beta^{2,i}=(\alpha_{r_{i-1}+1}+2,\dots,\alpha_{r_i}+2),i=1,..,n_1,\alpha^{n_1+1}=(\alpha_{r_{n_1}+1},\dots,\alpha_s),\beta^{n_1+1}=(\beta_{r_{n_1}+1},\dots,\beta_t)$. We associate to (α,β) the pairs of partitions $(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}})$, $\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}}=(\alpha^{\epsilon_1,1},\dots,\alpha^{\epsilon_{n_1},n_1},\alpha^{n_1+1}),\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}}=(\beta^{\epsilon_1,1},\dots,\beta^{\epsilon_{n_1},n_1},\beta^{n_1+1})$, where $\epsilon_i\in\{1,2\},i=1,\dots,n_1$. Notice that the pairs of partitions $(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}})$ are distinct and among them only $(\alpha,\beta)=(\tilde{\alpha}^{1,\dots,1},\tilde{\beta}^{1,\dots,1})$ is in Δ. One can verify that $\{(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}})|(\alpha,\beta)\in\Delta,n_1=\#\{i|\alpha_{i+1}+2\leq\beta_i<\alpha_i+2\}\}=\{(\alpha,\beta)||\alpha|+|\beta|=n\}$, which has cardinality $p_2(n)$. But the number of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_q)\leq\#\{(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_1}})|(\alpha,\beta)\in\Delta,n_1=\#\{i|\alpha_{i+1}+2\leq\beta_i<\alpha_i+2\}\}$ and (i) is proved.

(ii) Similarly, the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ are mapped bijectively to the set $\{(\alpha,\beta)||\alpha|+|\beta|=n,\beta_i\leq\alpha_i\}:=\Delta'([8])$. By Corollary 4.2 (ii), a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ corresponding to $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Delta'$ with $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_s),\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_s)$ and $\alpha\neq\beta$ splits into at most 2^{n_2-1} orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, where $n_2=\#\{i|\alpha_{i+1}\leq\beta_i<\alpha_i\}-1$. We associate to this orbit 2^{n_2-1} pairs of partitions as follows. Can assume $\alpha_s\neq 0$. Suppose $r_1,r_2,\dots,r_{n_2}$ are such that $\alpha_{r_i+1}\leq\beta_{r_i}<\alpha_{r_i},i=1,\dots,n_2$. Let $\alpha^{1,i}=(\alpha_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\alpha_{r_i}),\beta^{1,i}=(\beta_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\beta_{r_i}),\alpha^{2,i}=(\beta_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\beta_{r_i}),\beta^{2,i}=(\alpha_{r_{i-1}+1},\dots,\alpha_{r_i}),i=1,..,n_2,\alpha^{n_2+1}=(\alpha_{r_{n_2}+1},\dots,\alpha_s),\beta^{n_2+1}=(\beta_{r_{n_2}+1},\dots,\beta_s)$. We have 2^{n_2} distinct pairs of partitions $(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}}),\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}}=(\alpha^{\epsilon_1,1},\dots,\alpha^{\epsilon_{n_2},n_2},\alpha^{n_2+1}),\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}}=(\beta^{\epsilon_1,1},\dots,\beta^{\epsilon_{n_2},n_2},\beta^{n_2+1})$, where $\epsilon_i\in\{1,2\},i=1,\dots,n_2$. We show that in these pairs of partitions (α',β') appears if and only if (β',α') appears. In fact we have $\alpha_i=\beta_i$, for $i>r_{n_2}$ which implies $\alpha^{n_2+1}=\beta^{n_2+1}$. Thus we have $(\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1+1(mod2),\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}+1(mod2)},\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1+1(mod2),\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}+1(mod2)})=(\tilde{\beta}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}},\tilde{\alpha}^{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{n_2}})$. Hence we can identify (α',β') with (β',α'), and then associate 2^{n_2-1} pairs of partitions to the nilpotent orbit corresponding to (α,β). One can verify that the set of all 2^{n_2-1} pairs of partitions associated to pairs of partitions in Δ' is in bijection with the set of pairs of partitions (α,β) such that $|\alpha|+|\beta|=n$ with (α,β) identified with (β,α), which has cardinality $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)$ if n is odd and $\frac{1}{2}(p_2(n)+p(\frac{n}{2}))$ if n is even. Thus (ii) follows. □

Corollary 5.2. *The number of nilpotent $SO_{2n}^{+}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^{+}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)$ if n is odd and is at most $\frac{1}{2}(p_2(n)+3p(\frac{n}{2}))$ if n is even.*

Proof. We show that the $O_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ orbits that split into two $SO_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits are exactly the orbits corresponding to the pairs of partitions of the form (α,α). The number of these orbits is $p(\frac{n}{2})$. Let x be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. The $O_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbit of x splits into two $SO_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits if and only if the centralizer $Z_{O_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)}(x)\subset SO_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. It is enough to show for indecomposable modules V, $Z_G(V)\subset SO_{2n}^{+}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ if and only if $\chi(m)=\frac{1}{2}m$, for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$.

For $W_l^0(m), W_l^\delta(m)$, $l \geq \frac{m+1}{2}$, let $\epsilon = 0$ or δ and $v_1^\epsilon, v_2^\epsilon$ be such that $W_l^\epsilon(m) = A^\epsilon v_1^\epsilon \oplus A^\epsilon v_2^\epsilon$ and $\psi^\epsilon(v_1^\epsilon) = t_\epsilon^{2-2l}$, $\psi^\epsilon(v_2^\epsilon) = \epsilon t_\epsilon^{-2m+2l}$, $\varphi^\epsilon(v_1^\epsilon, v_2^\epsilon) = t_\epsilon^{1-m}$. Let $w_2^\epsilon = v_2^\epsilon + t_\epsilon^{2l-1-m} v_1^\epsilon$. Define u^ϵ by $u^\epsilon(a_1 v_1^\epsilon + a_2 v_2^\epsilon) = a_1 v_1^\epsilon + a_2 w_2^\epsilon$. Then $u^\epsilon \in Z_G(W_l^\epsilon(m))$, but $u^\epsilon \notin SO_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$ (see [2]).

For $W_l^0(m)$, $l = m/2$, let v_1, v_2 be such that $W_l^0(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ and $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-m}$, $\psi(v_2) = 0$, $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$. Let $W = \text{span}\{t^{\frac{m}{2}} v_1, t^{\frac{m}{2}+1} v_1, \ldots, t^{m-1} v_1, t^{\frac{m}{2}} v_2, t^{\frac{m}{2}+1} v_2, \ldots, t^{m-1} v_2\}$. Then W is a maximal totally singular subspace in V and $Z_G(V)$ stabilizes W. Hence $Z_G(V) \subset SO_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$. $\square$

6. Springer corresopondence

Throughout subsection 6.1-6.5, let G be an adjoint algebraic group of type B_r, C_r or D_r over $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. Fix a Borel subgroup B of G with Levi decomposition $B = TU$. Write $\mathfrak{b} = Lie(B), \mathfrak{t} = Lie(T), \mathfrak{n} = Lie(U)$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of G.

6.1. Let $Z = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2\}$, $Z' = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2\}$. Denote ν_G the number of positive roots in G and r the dimension of T. Let c be a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 6.1. (i) *We have* $\dim(\mathrm{c} \cap \mathfrak{n}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathrm{c}$.

(ii) *Given* $x \in \mathrm{c}$, *we have* $\dim\{B_1 \in \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1\} \leq \nu_G - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathrm{c}$.

(iii) *We have* $\dim Z = \dim G$ *and* $\dim Z' = \dim G - r$.

Proof. We have a partition $Z = \cup_{\mathcal{O}} Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ according to the G-orbits $\mathcal{O}$ on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ where $Z_{\mathcal{O}} = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in Z | (B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{O}\}$. Define in the same way a partition $Z' = \cup_{\mathcal{O}} Z'_{\mathcal{O}}$. Consider the maps from $Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $Z'_{\mathcal{O}}$ to $\mathcal{O}$: $(x, B_1, B_2) \mapsto (B_1, B_2)$. We have $\dim Z_{\mathcal{O}} = \dim(\mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2) + \dim \mathcal{O} = \dim G$ and $\dim Z'_{\mathcal{O}} = \dim(\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2) + \dim \mathcal{O} = \dim G - r$. Thus (iii) is proved.

We now show (ii) is a consequence of (iii). Let $Z'(\mathrm{c})$ be the subset of Z' defined by $Z'(\mathrm{c}) = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in Z' | x \in \mathrm{c}\}$. If $Z'(\mathrm{c})$ is empty then the variety in (ii) is empty and (ii) follows. Hence we may assume that $Z'(\mathrm{c})$ is non-empty. From (iii), we have $\dim Z'(\mathrm{c}) \leq \dim G - r = 2\nu_G$. Consider the map $Z'(\mathrm{c}) \to \mathrm{c}$, $(x, B_1, B_2) \mapsto x$. We have $\dim Z'(\mathrm{c}) = \dim \mathrm{c} + 2 \dim\{B_1 \in \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1\} \leq 2\nu_G$. Thus (ii) follows.

Finally we show that (i) is a consequence of (ii). Consider the variety $\{(x, B_1) \in \mathrm{c} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1\}$. By projecting it to the first coordinate, and using (ii), we see that it has dimension $\leq \nu_G + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathrm{c}$. If we project it to the second coordinate, we get $\dim(\mathrm{c} \cap \mathfrak{n}) + \dim \mathcal{B} \leq \nu_G + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathrm{c}$ and (i) follows. $\square$

6.2. Recall an element x in $\mathfrak{g}$ is called regular if the connected centralizer $Z_G^0(x)$ in G is a maximal torus of G.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists regular semisimple elements in* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and they form an open dense subset in* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. We first show that regular semisimple elements exists in $\mathfrak{g}$.

(i) $G = SO(2n+1)$. Let V be a $2n+1$ dimensional vector space equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form $Q : V \to k$. Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be the bilinear form associated to Q defined by $\langle v, w \rangle = Q(v + w) + Q(v) + Q(w)$. Then G is defined as $\{g \in GL(V) |\ Q(gv) = Q(v),\ \forall\ v \in V\}$. Since Q is non-degenerate, we have $\dim V^\perp = 1$. Let $V^\perp = \text{span}\{v_0\}$, we have $gv_0 = v_0$, for any $g \in G$. Hence the Lie algebra is $\{x \in \text{End}(V) | \langle xv, v \rangle = 0, \forall\ v \in V; xv_0 = 0\}$. We identify V with k^{2n+1} and let the standard basis of V be $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n+1$. Can assume $Q(v) = v^t B v$ with respect to the standard basis, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n\times n} & I_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0_{n\times n} & 0_{n\times n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then a maximal torus T of G is $\{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, 1/t_1, 1/t_2, \dots 1/t_n, 1) | t_i \in k^*, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of T is $\{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots x_n, 0) | x_i \in k, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Since every semisimple element in $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate to an element in $\mathfrak{t}$ under the adjoint action of G, it is enough to consider elements in $\mathfrak{t}$.

Let $x = \mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots x_n, 0)$, where $x_i \neq x_j$, for any $i \neq j$ and $x_i \neq 0$ for any i (such x exists). It can be easily verified that $Z_G(x)$ consists of the elements of the form $g = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & 0 \\ A_3 & A_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $A_i = \mathrm{diag}(a_i^1, a_i^2, \dots, a_i^n), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, satisfy $a_1^j a_3^j = a_2^j a_4^j = 0$ and $a_1^j a_4^j + a_2^j a_3^j = 1, j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we see that $Z_G^0(x) = T$ and x is regular.

(ii) G is the adjoint group of type C_n. We have the following construction of G. Let V be a $2n$ dimensional vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V \times V \to k$. Then G is defined as $\{(g, \lambda) \in GL(V) \times k^* | \forall\ v, w \in V, \langle gv, gw\rangle = \lambda \langle v, w\rangle\}/\{(\mu I, \mu^2) | \mu \in k^*\}$. Hence the Lie algebra is $\{(x, \lambda) \in \mathrm{End}(V) \times k | \forall\ v, w \in V, \langle xv, w\rangle + \langle v, xw\rangle = \lambda\langle v, w\rangle\}/\{(\mu I, 0) | \mu \in k\}$. Similar to (i), we identify V with k^{2n}. In the standard basis e_i, we can assume $\langle v, w\rangle = v^t A w$ where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n\times n} \\ I_{n\times n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then a maximal torus T of G is $\{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \lambda/t_1, \lambda/t_2, \dots \lambda/t_n) | t_i \in k^*, i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda \in k^*\}/\{(\mu I, \mu^2) | \mu \in k^*\}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of T is $\{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda + x_1, \lambda + x_2, \dots \lambda + x_n) | x_i \in k, i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda \in k\}/\{(\mu I, 0) | \mu \in k\}$.

Let $x = \overline{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1 + \lambda, x_2 + \lambda, \dots x_n + \lambda)} \in \mathfrak{t}$, where $x_i \neq x_j$, for any $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \neq x_i + x_j$ for any i, j(such x exists). It can be verified that the elements in $Z_G(x)$ must have the form $g = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ where each row and each column of G has only one nonzero entry and $(A_1)_{i,j} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (A_4)_{i,j} \neq 0, (A_2)_{i,j} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (A_3)_{i,j} \neq 0$. Hence we see that $Z_G^0(x) = T$ and x is regular.

(iii) G is the adjoint group of type D_n. We have the following construction of G. Let V be a $2n$ dimensional vector space equipped with a non-defective quadratic form $Q : V \to k$. Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be the bilinear form associated to Q defined by $\langle v, w\rangle = Q(v+w) + Q(v) + Q(w)$. Then G is defined as $\{(g, \lambda) \in GL(V) \times k^* | \forall\, v \in V, Q(gv) = \lambda Q(v)\}/\{(\mu I, \mu^2) | \mu \in k^*\}$. Hence the Lie algebra is $\{(x, \lambda) \in \mathrm{End}(V) \times k | \forall\ v \in V, \langle xv, v\rangle = \lambda Q(v)\}/\{(\mu I, 0) | \mu \in k\}$. We identify V with k^{2n}. In the standard basis e_i, we can assume $Q(v) = v^t B v$ where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n\times n} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then a maximal torus T of G is $\{\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \lambda/t_1, \lambda/t_2, \dots \lambda/t_n) | t_i \in k^*, i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda \in k^*\}/\{(\mu I, \mu^2) | \mu \in k^*\}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of T is $\{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda + x_1, \lambda + x_2, \dots \lambda + x_n) | x_i \in k, i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda \in k\}/\{(\mu I, 0) | \mu \in k\}$.

Let $x = \overline{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1 + \lambda, x_2 + \lambda, \dots x_n + \lambda)} \in \mathfrak{t}$ where $x_i \neq x_j$, for any $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \neq x_i + x_j$ for any $i \neq j$ (such x exists), then similarly one can show that $Z_G^0(x) = T$ and x is regular.

Now denote by $\mathfrak{t}_0$ the set of regular elements in $\mathfrak{t}$. From the above construction, one easily see that $\mathfrak{t}_0$ is a dense subset in $\mathfrak{t}$. Thus $\dim \mathfrak{t}_0 = \dim \mathfrak{t} = r$. Consider the map $\mathfrak{t}_0 \times G \to \mathfrak{g}$ $(x,g) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(g)x$. The fiber at y in the image is $\{(x,g) \in \mathfrak{t}_0 \times G | \mathrm{Ad}(g)x = y\}$. Consider the projection of $\{(x,g) \in \mathfrak{t}_0 \times G | \mathrm{Ad}(g)x = y\}$ to the first coordinate, the fiber of this projection is isomorphic to $Z_G(x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{t}_0$, which has dimension r. The image of this projection is finite. Hence $\dim\{(x,g) \in \mathfrak{t}_0 \times G | \mathrm{Ad}(g)x = y\} = r$. It follows that the image of the map $\mathfrak{t}_0 \times G \to \mathfrak{g}$, $(x,g) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(g)x$ has dimension equal to $\dim(\mathfrak{t}_0 \times G) - r = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. This proves the lemma. □

Remark 6.3. Lemma 6.2 is not always true when G is not adjoint.

6.3. Let Y be the set of semisimple regular elements in $\mathfrak{g}$, then by Lemma 6.2, $\dim Y = \dim G$. Let $\widetilde{Y} = \{(x, gT) \in Y \times G/T | \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x) \in \mathfrak{t}_0\}$. Define $\pi : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ by $\pi(x, gT) = x$. The Weyl group $W = NT/T$ acts (freely) on $\widetilde{Y}$ by $n : (x, gT) \mapsto (x, gn^{-1}T)$.

Lemma 6.4. *$\pi : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a principal W-bundle.*

Proof. We show that if $x \in \mathfrak{g}, g, g' \in G$ are such that $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x \in \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathrm{Ad}(g'^{-1})x \in \mathfrak{t}_0$, then $g' = gn^{-1}$ for some $n \in NT$. Let $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x = t_1 \in \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathrm{Ad}(g'^{-1})x = t_2 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$, then we have $Z_G^0(x) = Z_G^0(\mathrm{Ad}(g)t_1) = gZ_G^0(t_1)g^{-1} = gTg^{-1}$, similarly $Z_G^0(x) = Z_G^0(\mathrm{Ad}(g')t_2) = g'Z_G^0(t_2)g'^{-1} = g'Tg'^{-1}$, hence $g'^{-1}g \in NT$. □

Let $X = \{(x, gB) \in \mathfrak{g} \times G/B | \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$. Define $\varphi : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ by $\varphi(x, gB) = x$. The map φ is G-equivariant with G-action on X by $g_0 : (x, gB) \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)x, g_0 gB)$.

Lemma 6.5. (i) *X is an irreducible variety of dimension equal to* $\dim G$.
(ii) *φ is proper and $\varphi(X) = \mathfrak{g} = \bar{Y}$.*
(iii) *$(x, gT) \to (x, gB)$ is an isomorphism $\gamma : \widetilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(Y)$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are easy. For (iii), we only prove that γ is a bijection. First we show γ is injective. Suppose $(x_1, g_1T), (x_2, g_2T) \in \widetilde{Y}$ are such that $(x_1, g_1B) = (x_2, g_2B)$, then we have $\mathrm{Ad}(g_1^{-1})(x_1) \in \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathrm{Ad}(g_2^{-1})(x_2) \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ and $x_1 = x_2, g_2^{-1}g_1 \in B$. Similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.4 shows $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in NT$, hence $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in B \cap NT = T$ and it follows $g_1T = g_2T$. Now we show γ is surjective. For $(x, gB) \in \varphi^{-1}(Y)$, we have $x \in Y, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x) \in \mathfrak{b}$, hence there exists $b \in B, x_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x) = \mathrm{Ad}(b)(x_0)$. Then $\gamma(x, gbT) = (x, gB)$. □

Since $\pi : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a finite covering, $\pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}$ is a well defined local system on Y. Thus $IC(\mathfrak{g}, \pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})$ is well defined.

Proposition 6.6. *$\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}$ is canonically isomorphic to $IC(\mathfrak{g}, \pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})$. Moreover, $End(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = End(\pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$.*

Proof. Using $\gamma : \widetilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(Y)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}|_{\varphi^{-1}(Y)} \cong \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}$, we have $\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}|_Y = \pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}$. Since φ is proper and X is smooth of dimension equal to $\dim Y$, we have that the Verdier dual $\mathfrak{D}(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = \varphi_!(\mathfrak{D}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) \cong \varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}[2 \dim Y]$. Hence by the definition of intersection cohomology complex, it is enough to prove that

$$\forall\ i > 0, \dim \mathrm{supp} \mathcal{H}^i(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) < \dim Y - i.$$

For $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the stalk $\mathcal{H}_x^i(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = H_c^i(\varphi^{-1}(x), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Hence it is enough to show $\forall\ i > 0, \dim\{x \in \mathfrak{g} | H_c^i(\varphi^{-1}(x), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \neq 0\} < \dim Y - i$. If $H_c^i(\varphi^{-1}(x), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \neq 0$, then $i \leq 2 \dim \varphi^{-1}(x)$. Hence it is enough to show that $\forall\ i > 0, \dim\{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \dim \varphi^{-1}(x) \geq i/2\} < \dim Y - i$. Suppose this is not true for some i, then $\dim\{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \dim \varphi^{-1}(x) \geq$

$i/2\} \geq \dim Y - i$. Let $V = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \dim \varphi^{-1}(x) \geq i/2\}$, it is closed in $\mathfrak{g}$ but not equal to $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the map $Z = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $(x, B_1, B_2) \mapsto x$. We have $\dim \varphi^{-1}(V) = \dim V + 2 \dim \varphi^{-1}(x) \geq \dim V + i \geq \dim Y$ (for some $x \in V$). Thus by Lemma 6.1 (iii), this inverse image contains some $Z_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O} = G$-orbit of (B, nBn^{-1}). If $x \in \mathfrak{t}_0$, then $(x, B, nBn^{-1}) \in Z_{\mathcal{O}}$, hence x belongs to the projection of $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ which has dimension $\dim V < \dim Y$. But this projection is G-equivariant hence contains all Y. We get a contradiction.

Since π is a principal W-bundle, we have $\mathrm{End}\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\tilde{Y}}) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$. It follows that $\mathrm{End}(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$. ☐

6.4. In this subsection, we introduce some sheaves on the variety of semisimple G-conjugacy classes in $\mathfrak{g}$ similar to [4, 5].

Lemma 6.7. *A G-conjugacy class in $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed if and only if it is a semisimple class.*

Proof. It follows from the following lemma that a semisimple G-conjugacy class in $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed: Let G act on an affine variety V and $v \in V$ be such that G_v contains a maximal torus, then $G.v$, the orbit of v, is closed (see for example [12]).

Now we show that the closure of a G-conjugacy class contains, along with each element, its semisimple part. Then the only if part follows. Let S be a G-conjugacy class in $\mathfrak{g}$, $x \in S$. Can assume $x \in \mathfrak{b}$, with Jordan decomposition $x = x_s + x_n$ such that $x_s \in \mathfrak{t}, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}$. Let R be the root system of G relative to T. We have a weight space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \oplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | Ad(t)x = \alpha(t)x, \forall t \in T\}$ is one dimensional for $\alpha \in R$ (see for example [11]). Write $x_n = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \tau_\alpha x_\alpha$, where R^+ is the set of positive roots, $\tau_\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and x_α is a basis in $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For a given $\tau \in \mathbf{k}^*$, choose $t \in T$ such that $\alpha(t) = \tau$ for any simple root α. Then we have $\mathrm{Ad}(t)(x) = x_s + \sum \tau_\alpha \tau^{\mathrm{ht}\alpha} x_\alpha$, where $\mathrm{ht}\alpha$ is the height of α. Hence $\sum \tau_\alpha \tau^{\mathrm{ht}\alpha} x_\alpha$ belongs to the closure of $x_s + S$, for every $\tau \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Take any $f \in \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{g}]$ such that $f(\overline{x_s + S}) = 0$. The map $\tau \mapsto f(\sum \tau_\alpha \tau^{\mathrm{ht}\alpha} x_\alpha)$ is a polynomial map, which is zero on $\mathbf{k}^*$, hence it is identically zero. In particular, $f(0) = 0$. It follows that $x_s \in \bar{S}$, the closure of S. ☐

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the set of closed G-conjugacy classes in $\mathfrak{g}$. By geometric invariant theory, $\mathbf{A}$ has a natural structure of affine variety and there is a well-defined morphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $\sigma(x)$ is the G-conjugacy class of x_s. There is a unique $\omega \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\omega) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | x \text{ nilpotent}\}$.

Lemma 6.8. *The map $\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}$ is a finite covering, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the restriction of σ.*

Proof. We show that for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{t}$, if $\sigma(x_1) = \sigma(x_2)$, then there exists $w \in W$ such that $x_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(w)x_1$. Since $\sigma(x_1) = \sigma(x_2)$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $x_1 = \mathrm{Ad}(g)(x_2)$. It follows that $Z_G^0(x_1) = gZ_G^0(x_2)g^{-1}$. We have $T \subset Z_G^0(x_1)$ and $gTg^{-1} \subset Z_G^0(x_1)$. Hence there exists $h \in Z_G^0(x_1)$ such that $hTh^{-1} = gTg^{-1}$. Let $n = g^{-1}h$, we have $x_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x_1 = \mathrm{Ad}(nh^{-1})x_1 = \mathrm{Ad}(n)x_1$. ☐

Recall $Z = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2\}$. Define $\tilde{\sigma} : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(x, B_1, B_2) = \sigma(x)$. For $a \in \mathbf{A}$, let $Z^a = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(a)$.

Lemma 6.9. *We have* $\dim Z^a \leq d_0$, *where* $d_0 = \dim G - r$.

Proof. Define $m : Z^a \to \sigma^{-1}(a)$ by $(x, B_1, B_2) \mapsto x$. Let $\mathrm{c} \subset \sigma^{-1}(a)$ be a G-conjugacy class. Consider $m : m^{-1}(\mathrm{c}) \to \mathrm{c}$. We have $\dim m^{-1}(\mathrm{c}) \leq \dim \mathrm{c} + 2(\nu_G - \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathrm{c}) = \dim G - r$. Since $\sigma^{-1}(a)$ is a union of finitely many G-conjugacy classes, it follows that $\dim Z^a \leq d_0$. □

Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0}\tilde{\sigma}_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lZ}$. Let E be a locally closed subset of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ which is a union of G-orbits. We set ${}^E Z = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2, (B_1, B_2) \in E\}$. Let ${}^E\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0}\sigma^1_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (a constructible sheaf on $\mathbf{A}$), where $\sigma^1 : {}^E Z \to \mathbf{A}$ is the restriction of $\tilde{\sigma}$ on ${}^E Z$.

Lemma 6.10. *If E is one orbit $\mathcal{O}$, then ${}^E\mathcal{T} \cong \bar{\sigma}_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, where $\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{t} \to \boldsymbol{A}$ is the restriction of σ.*

Proof. The fibre of the fibration $pr_{23} : {}^E Z \to E$ at $(B, nBn^{-1}) \in E$ maybe identified with $V = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | x \in \mathfrak{b}, \mathrm{Ad}(n^{-1})(x) \in \mathfrak{b}\}$. Let ${}^E\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0 - 2\dim E}\sigma'_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, where $\sigma' : V \to \mathbf{A}$ is $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$. Let ${}^E\mathcal{T}'' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0 + 2\dim H}\sigma''_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, where $H = B \cap nBn^{-1}$ and $\sigma'' : G \times V \to \mathbf{A}$ is $(g, x) \mapsto \sigma(x)$. Consider the composition $G \times V \xrightarrow{pr_2} V \xrightarrow{\sigma'} \mathbf{A}$(equal to σ'') and the composition $G \times V \xrightarrow{p} H\backslash(G \times V) = {}^E Z \xrightarrow{\sigma^1} \mathbf{A}$ (equal to σ''), we obtain ${}^E\mathcal{T}'' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0+2\dim H}(\sigma'_! pr_{2!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0+2\dim H}(\sigma'_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[-2\dim G]) = {}^E\mathcal{T}'$, ${}^E\mathcal{T}'' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0+2\dim H}(\sigma^1_! p_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0+2\dim H}(\sigma'_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[-2\dim H]) = {}^E\mathcal{T}$. It follows that ${}^E\mathcal{T} = {}^E\mathcal{T}'$. Now V is fibred over $\mathfrak{t}$ with fibres isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n} \cap n\mathfrak{n}n^{-1}$. The map $\sigma' : V \to \mathbf{A}$ factors through $\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbf{A}$. Since $\mathfrak{n} \cap n\mathfrak{n}n^{-1}$ is an affine space of $\dim G - r - \dim E$, we see that ${}^E\mathcal{T} = {}^E\mathcal{T}' \cong \mathcal{H}^0\bar{\sigma}_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Since $\bar{\sigma}$ is a finite covering (Lemma 6.8), we have ${}^E\mathcal{T} \cong \bar{\sigma}_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. □

Denote $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and ${}^E\mathcal{T}_\omega$ the stalk of $\mathcal{T}$ and ${}^E\mathcal{T}$ at ω respectively.

Lemma 6.11. *For $w \in W$, let E_w be the G-orbit on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ which contains $(B, n_w B n_w^{-1})$. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_\omega \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} {}^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_\omega$.*

Proof. We have $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(\omega) = Z' = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2\}$. We have a partition $Z' = \sqcup_{w \in W} {}^{E_w}Z'$, where ${}^{E_w}Z' = \{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2, (B_1, B_2) \in E_w\}$. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$H_c^{2d_0}(Z', \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_c^{2d_0}({}^{E_w}Z', \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l),$$

which is $\mathcal{T}_\omega \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} {}^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_\omega$. □

Recall that we have $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W] = \mathrm{End}(\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\tilde{Y}}) = \mathrm{End}(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})$. In particular, $\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}$ is naturally a W-module and $\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} \otimes \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}$ is naturally a W-module (with W acting on the first factor). This induces a W-module structure on $\mathcal{H}^{2d_0}\sigma_!(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} \otimes \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = \mathcal{T}$. Hence we obtain a W-module structure on the stalk $\mathcal{T}_\omega$.

Lemma 6.12. *Let $w \in W$. Multiplication by w in the W-module structure of $\mathcal{T}_\omega = \bigoplus_{w' \in W} {}^{E_{w'}}\mathcal{T}_\omega$ defines for any $w' \in W$ an isomorphism ${}^{E_{w'}}\mathcal{T}_\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{E_{ww'}}\mathcal{T}_\omega$.*

Proof. We have an isomorphism

$$f : {}^{E_{w'}}Z' \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{E_{ww'}}Z', (x, gBg^{-1}, g'Bg'^{-1}) \mapsto (x, gn_w^{-1}Bn_w g^{-1}, g'Bg'^{-1}).$$

This induces an isomorphism

$$H_c^{2d_0}({}^{E_{w'}}Z', \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{2d_0}({}^{E_{ww'}}Z', \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

which is just multiplication by w. □

6.5. Let $\hat{W}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple W-modules. Given a semisimple object M of some abelian category such that M is a W-module, we write $M_\rho = \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]}(\rho, M)$ for $\rho \in \hat{W}$. We have $M = \oplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}(\rho \otimes M_\rho)$ with W acting on the ρ-factor and M_ρ is in our abelian category. In particular, we have $\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}} = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}(\rho\otimes(\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})_\rho)$, where $(\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})_\rho$ is an irreducible local system on Y. We have $\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}(\rho\otimes(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho)$ where $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho = IC(\bar{Y}, (\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})_\rho)$. Moreover, for $a \in \mathbf{A}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_a = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}(\rho \otimes (\mathcal{T}_a)_\rho)$. Let $\varphi : X \to \mathfrak{g} = \bar{Y}$ be as before. Set $\bar{Y}^\omega = \{x \in \bar{Y} | \sigma(x) = \omega\}$, $X^\omega = \varphi^{-1}(\bar{Y}^\omega) \subset X$. We have $\bar{Y}^\omega = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | x \text{ nilpotent}\}$. Let $\varphi^\omega : X^\omega \to \bar{Y}^\omega$ be the restriction of $\varphi : X \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 6.13. (i) *X^ω and $\bar{Y}^\omega$ are irreducible varieties of dimension $d_0 = \dim G - r$.*

(ii) *We have $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})|_{\bar{Y}^\omega} = \varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega}$. Moreover, $\varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega}[d_0]$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\bar{Y}^\omega$.*

(iii) *We have $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega} \neq 0$ for any $\rho \in \hat{W}$.*

Proof. (i) $\bar{Y}^\omega$ is the nilpotent variety, it is well-known to be irreducible of dimension $\dim G - r$. Now $X^\omega = \{(x, gB) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \bar{Y}^\omega, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x) \in \mathfrak{b}\} = \{(x, gB) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} | \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x) \in \mathfrak{n}\}$. By projection to the second coordinate, we see that $\dim X^\omega = \dim \mathfrak{n} + \dim \mathcal{B} = \dim G - r$. This proves (i).

The first assertion of (ii) follows from base change theorem. We have φ^ω is proper(Y^ω is closed and hence X^ω is closed). By similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.6, to show that $\varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega}[d_0]$ is a perverse sheaf, it suffices to prove that $\forall\ i \geq 0$, we have $\dim \mathrm{supp}\mathcal{H}^i(\varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega}) \leq \dim \bar{Y}^\omega - i$. It is enough to show $\forall\ i \geq 0$, $\dim\{x \in \bar{Y}^\omega | \dim(\varphi^\omega)^{-1}(x) \geq i/2\} \leq \dim \bar{Y}^\omega - i$. If this is violated for some $i \geq 0$, it would follow the variety $\{(x, B_1, B_2) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} | x \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2\}$ has dimension greater than $\dim \bar{Y}^\omega = \dim G - r$, contradict to Lemma 6.1. This proves that $\varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega}[d_0]$ is a perverse sheaf. It is semisimple by the decomposition theorem[1]. This proves (ii).

Now we prove (iii). By Lemma 6.10, we have ${}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_\omega = H^0_c(\mathfrak{t} \cap \sigma^{-1}(\omega), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \neq 0$. From Lemma 6.12, we see that the W-module structure defines an injective map $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W] \otimes {}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_\omega \to \mathcal{T}_\omega$. Since ${}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_\omega \neq 0$, we have $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W] \otimes {}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_\omega)_\rho \neq 0$ for any $\rho \in \hat{W}$, hence $(\mathcal{T}_\omega)_\rho \neq 0$. We have $\mathcal{T}_\omega = H^{2d_0}_c(\bar{Y}^\omega, \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} \otimes \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})$, hence

$$\bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}} \rho \otimes (\mathcal{T}_\omega)_\rho = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}} \rho \otimes H^{2d_0}_c(\bar{Y}^\omega, (\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho \otimes \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}),$$

this implies that $(\mathcal{T}_\omega)_\rho = H^{2d_0}_c(\bar{Y}^\omega, (\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho \otimes \varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})$. Thus it follows from $(\mathcal{T}_\omega)_\rho \neq 0$ that $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega} \neq 0$ for any $\rho \in \hat{W}$. □

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where c is a nilpotent G-conjugacy class in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on c (up to isomorphism).

Proposition 6.14. (i) *The restriction map $End_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) \to End_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\varphi^\omega_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^\omega})$ is an isomorphism.*

(ii) *For any $\rho \in \hat{W}$, there is a unique $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ is $IC(\bar{\mathrm{c}}, \mathcal{F})[\dim \mathrm{c}]$ regarded as a simple perverse sheaf on $\bar{Y}^\omega$ (zero outside $\bar{\mathrm{c}}$). Moreover, $\rho \mapsto (\bar{\mathrm{c}}, \mathcal{F})$ is an injective map $\gamma : \hat{W} \to \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. We prove (i). We have a decomposition $\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}} \rho \otimes (\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho$ where $(\varphi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho[\dim \bar{Y}]$ are simple perverse sheaves on $\bar{Y}$. Restricting to $\bar{Y}^\omega$ and using

Lemma 6.13 (ii), we obtain a decomposition $\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}} = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}\rho\otimes(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}$. Hence the map in (i) factorizes as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = \bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\rho\otimes(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho})\\ &\xrightarrow{b}\bigoplus_{\rho\in\hat{W}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}(\rho\otimes(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}})\xrightarrow{c}\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}(\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}})\end{aligned}$$

where c is injective. We have $b=\oplus_{\rho}b_{\rho}$ where

$$b_{\rho}:\mathrm{End}(\rho)\otimes\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}((\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho})\to\mathrm{End}(\rho)\otimes\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}((\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}})$$

is injective. (We use that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}((\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho})=\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\subset\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}((\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}})$, since $(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}\neq 0$ by Lemma 6.13 (iii).) Hence b is injective, so the map in (i) is injective. Hence it remains to show that

$$\dim\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}(\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}})=\dim\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^{\omega})}(\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}})=\dim H^{0}_{c}(\bar{Y}^{\omega},\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}[d_0]\otimes\mathfrak{D}(\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}[d_0]))\\ &=\dim H^{0}_{c}(\bar{Y}^{\omega},\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}[d_0]\otimes\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}[d_0])=\dim H^{2d_0}_{c}(\bar{Y}^{\omega},\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}\otimes\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}})\\ &=\dim H^{2d_0}_{c}(\bar{Y}^{\omega},\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}\otimes\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})=\dim\mathcal{T}_{\omega}=\sum_{w\in W}\dim^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_{\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

(The first equality follows by the argument in [6] section 7.4 applied to the semisimple perverse sheaf $\varphi^{\omega}_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX^{\omega}}[d_0]$ on $\bar{Y}^{\omega}$. The fourth equality follows from Lemma 6.13 (ii). The sixth follows from Lemma 6.11.)

We have ${}^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_{\omega}=H^{0}_{c}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(\omega),\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l})$ (see Lemma 6.10), hence $\dim{}^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_{\omega}=1$ and

$$\sum_{w\in W}\dim^{E_w}\mathcal{T}_{\omega}=|W|=\dim\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}).$$

This proves (i).

From the proof of (i) we see that both b and c are isomorphism. It follows that the perverse sheaf $(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}[d_0]$ on $\bar{Y}^{\omega}$(see Lemma 6.13) is simple and that for $\rho,\rho'\in\hat{W}$, we have $(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}[d_0]\cong(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho'}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}[d_0]$ if and only if $\rho=\rho'$. Since the simple perverse sheaf $(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}[d_0]$ is G-equivariant and $\bar{Y}^{\omega}$ is a union of finitely many nilpotent G-conjugacy classes, we see that $(\varphi_{!}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_{\rho}|_{\bar{Y}^{\omega}}[d_0]$ must be as in (ii). □

6.6. In this subsection let $G=SO_N(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{o}_N(\mathbf{k})$ be the Lie algebra of G. Let G_{ad} be an adjoint group over $\mathbf{k}$ of the same type as G and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ be the Lie algebra of G_{ad}. For q a power of 2, let $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the fixed points of a split Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ relative to $\mathbf{F}_q$ on G, $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be defined like $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{c},\mathcal{F})$ where c is a nilpotent G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on c (up to isomorphism). Let $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ be defined as in the introduction for G_{ad}. We show that the number of elements in $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ is equal to the number of elements in $\mathfrak{A}$.

We first show that the number of elements in $\mathfrak{A}$ is equal to the number of nilpotent $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ (for q large). To see this we can assume $\mathbf{k}=\bar{\mathbf{F}}_2$. Pick representatives $x_1,\ldots,x_M$ for the nilpotent G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$. If q is large enough, the Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ keeps x_i fixed and acts trivially on $Z_G(x_i)/Z^0_G(x_i)$. Then the number of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in the G-orbit of x_i is equal to the number of irreducible representations of $Z_G(x_i)/Z_G^0(x_i)$ hence to the number of G-equivariant irreducible local systems on the G-orbit of x_i. Similarly, the number of elements in $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ is equal to the number of nilpotent $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

On the other hand, the number of nilpotent $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is equal to the number of nilpotent $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In fact, we have a morphism $G \to G_{ad}$ which is an isomorphism of abstract groups and an obvious bijective morphism $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ between the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{U}_{ad}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. Thus the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ are in bijection and the corresponding component groups of centralizers are isomorphic. It follows that $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{A}_{ad}|$.

Let $\hat{W}$ be the set of simple modules (up to isomorphism) for the Weyl group W of G_{ad}. (A description of $\hat{W}$ is given for example in [7].)

Corollary 6.15. $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{A}_{ad}| = |\hat{W}|$.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 (i), Corollary 5.2 and the above argument we see that $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{A}_{ad}| \leq |\hat{W}|$. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.14 (ii),we have $|\mathfrak{A}_{ad}| \geq |\hat{W}|$. $\square$

Remark 6.16. Corollary 6.15 also holds when G and G_{ad} are of type C (see [8]).

Corollary 6.17. *The map γ in Proposition 6.14* (ii) *is a bijection.*

Corollary 6.18. *Proposition 4.1, Corollary 4.2, Proposition 5.1, Corollary 5.2 hold with all "at most" removed.*

Proof. For q large enough, this follows from Corollary 6.15. Now let q be an arbitrary power of 2. The Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ acts trivially on W. Since we have the Springer correspondence map γ in Proposition 6.14 (ii), for each pair $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$, c is stable under the Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ and we have $\mathfrak{F}_q^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}$. Pick a rational point x in c. The G_{ad}-equivariant local systems on c are in 1-1 correspondence with the characters of $Z_{G_{ad}}(x)/Z^0_{G_{ad}}(x)$. Since $Z_{G_{ad}}(x)/Z^0_{G_{ad}}(x)$ is abelian (see Proposition 7.1 and the argument above) and the Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ acts trivially on the characters of $Z_{G_{ad}}(x)/Z^0_{G_{ad}}(x)$, $\mathfrak{F}_q$ acts trivially on $Z_{G_{ad}}(x)/Z^0_{G_{ad}}(x)$. Thus it follows that the number of nilpotent $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is independent of q hence it is equal to $|\mathfrak{A}_{ad}| = |\hat{W}|$. $\square$

A corollary is that in this case there are no cuspidal local systems similarly defined as in [4]. This result does not extend to exceptional Lie algebras. (In type F_4, characteristic 2, the results of [9] suggest that a cuspidal local system exists on a nilpotent class.)

7. COMPONENT GROUPS OF CENTRALIZERS

We have the following proposition on the structure of component groups of centralizers.

Proposition 7.1. (i) *Assume V is defective. Let $x \in \mathfrak{o}(V)$ be a nilpotent element corresponding to the form module $(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)} \cdots (\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$. Denote by n_1 the cardinality of $\{1 \leq i \leq s-1 | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$. We have $Z_{O(V)}(x)/Z^0_{O(V)}(x) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}$.*

(ii) *Assume V is non-defective. Let $x \in \mathfrak{o}(V)$ be a nilpotent element corresponding to the form module $(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)} \cdots (\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$. Denote by n_2 the cardinality* *of* $\{1\leq i\leq s|\chi(\lambda_i)+\chi(\lambda_{i+1})\leq\lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i)\neq\lambda_i/2\}$ *(here define* $\chi(\lambda_{s+1})=0$*). Assume* $n_2\geq 1$*. Then* $Z_{SO(V)}(x)/Z^0_{SO(V)}(x)=(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_2-1}$.

Proof. (i) Let us write $Z=Z_{O(V)}(x)$ and $Z^0=Z^0_{O(V)}(x)$ for simplicity. Assume q large enough. We know that the group Z/Z^0 has 2^{n_1} conjugacy classes, since the G-orbit of x splits into 2^{n_1} $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ (Corollary 6.18). We show that

$$(*)\qquad |Z(\mathbf{F}_q)|=2^{n_1}q^{\dim(Z)}+\text{ lower terms in } q.$$

Then it follows that Z/Z^0 is an abelian group of order 2^{n_1}. We prove (*) by induction on n_1. Suppose $n_1=0$, then Z/Z^0 has only one conjugacy class. It follows that $Z/Z^0=\{1\}$ and (*) holds for $n_1=0$. Suppose $n_1\geq 1$. Let t be the minimal integer such that $\chi(\lambda_t)\neq\lambda_t/2$ and $\chi(\lambda_t)+\chi(\lambda_{t+1})\leq\lambda_t$. Let $V_1=(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)}\cdots(\lambda_t)^{m_t}_{\chi(\lambda_t)}$ and $V_2=(\lambda_{t+1})^{m_{t+1}}_{\chi(\lambda_{t+1})}\cdots(\lambda_s)^{m_s}_{\chi(\lambda_s)}$. Then V_1 is non-defective. Write $Z_i=Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ and $Z_i^0=Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$, $i=1,2$. We have $|Z_{SO(V_1)}(V_1)/Z^0_{SO(V_1)}(V_1)|=1\Rightarrow|Z_1/Z_1^0|=2$. It follows that $|Z_1(\mathbf{F}_q)|=2q^{\dim Z_1}+$ lower terms in q. We show that $|Z(\mathbf{F}_q)|=|Z_1(\mathbf{F}_q)|\cdot|Z_2(\mathbf{F}_q)|\cdot|\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)(\mathbf{F}_q)|$. Consider V_1 as an element in the Grassmannian variety $Gr(V,r)$ of dimension $r=\sum_{j=1}^t m_j\lambda_j$. Then $C(V)=\{g\in GL(V)|gx=xg\}$ acts on $Gr(V,r)$. The orbit of V_1 has cardinality $q^{\dim\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)}$. Now we have $C(V)(V_1\oplus V_2)=C(V)V_1\oplus C(V)V_2\cong V_1\oplus V_2$. By our choice of V_1 and V_2, it follows that $C(V)V_1\cong V_1$ and $C(V)V_2\cong V_2$. Thus $C(V)V_1$ is in the orbit of V_1 under the action of Z. Hence $|C(V)V_1|=|Z\ V_1|$. The stabilizer of V_1 in Z is the product of Z_1 and Z_2. Hence $|Z(\mathbf{F}_q)|=|Z_1(\mathbf{F}_q)|\cdot|Z_2(\mathbf{F}_q)|\cdot q^{\dim\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)}$. Thus the assertion (*) follows from induction hypothesis since we have $\dim Z_1+\dim Z_2+\dim\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)=\dim Z$ and $|\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)(\mathbf{F}_q)|=q^{\dim\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_1,V_2)}$.

Next we show there is a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}\subset Z/Z^0$. Thus Z/Z^0 has to be $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}$. Let $1\leq i_1,\ldots,i_{n_1}\leq s-1$ be such that $\chi(\lambda_{i_j})>\lambda_{i_j}/2$ and $\chi(\lambda_{i_j})+\chi(\lambda_{i_j+1})\leq\lambda_{i_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n_1$. Let $V_j=(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})^{m_{i_{j-1}+1}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})}\cdots(\lambda_{i_j})^{m_{i_j}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_j})}$, $j=1,\ldots,n_1+1$, where $i_0=0$, $i_{n_1+1}=s$. Then $V=V_1\oplus V_2\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n_1+1}$. We have V_i, $i=1,\ldots,n_1$ are non-defective and V_{n_1+1} is defective. Moreover, we have $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)=\mathbb{Z}_2$, $i=1,\ldots,n_1$, and $Z_{O(V_{n_1+1})}(V_{n_1+1})/Z^0_{O(V_{n_1+1})}(V_{n_1+1})=\{1\}$. Take $g_i\in Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ such that $g_iZ^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ generates $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n_1$. We know each V_i, $i=1,\ldots,n_1$, has two isomorphism classes V_i^0,V_i^δ over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let m_i^0 and m_i^δ be two elements in $\mathfrak{o}(V_i)(\mathbf{F}_q)$ corresponding to V_i^0 and V_i^δ respectively. Can assume $x=m_1^0\oplus m_2^0\oplus\cdots\oplus m_{n_1}^0\oplus m_{n_1+1}$. Let $\tilde{g}_i=Id\oplus\cdots\oplus g_i\oplus\cdots\oplus Id$, $i=1,\ldots,n_1$. Then we have $\tilde{g}_i\in Z$ and $\tilde{g}_i\notin Z^0$, since $V_1^0\oplus\cdots\oplus V_i^0\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n_1}^0\oplus V_{n_1+1}\ncong V_1^0\oplus\cdots\oplus V_i^\delta\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n_1}^0\oplus V_{n_1+1}$(Corollary 6.18). We also have the image of $\tilde{g}_{i_1}\cdots\tilde{g}_{i_p}$'s, $1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_p\leq n_1$, $p=1,\ldots,n_1$, in Z/Z^0 are not equal to each other. Moreover $\tilde{g}_i^2\in Z^0$. Thus the $\tilde{g}_iZ^0$'s generates a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}$ in Z/Z^0.

(ii) Let us write $Z=Z_{SO(V)}(x)$ and $Z^0=Z^0_{SO(V)}(x)$ for simplicity. Assume $n_2\geq 1$. We know that the group Z/Z^0 has 2^{n_2-1} conjugacy classes since the G-orbit of x splits into 2^{n_2-1} $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$($q$ large enough). The same argument as in (i) shows that $|Z(\mathbf{F}_q)|=2^{n_2-1}q^{\dim(Z)}$+lower terms. Then it follows that Z/Z^0 is an abelian group of order 2^{n_2-1}. Let $1\leq i_1,\ldots,i_{n_2}\leq s$ be such that $\chi(\lambda_{i_j})>\lambda_{i_j}/2$ and $\chi(\lambda_{i_j})+\chi(\lambda_{i_j+1})\leq\lambda_{i_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n_2$.

Case 1: $\chi(\lambda_s)=\lambda_s/2$. Then $i_{n_2}<s$. Let $V_j=(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})^{m_{i_{j-1}+1}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})}\cdots(\lambda_{i_j})^{m_{i_j}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_j})}$, $j=1,\dots,n_2+1$, where $i_0=0$, $i_{n_2+1}=s$. Then $V=V_1\oplus V_2\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n_2+1}$. We have $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)=\mathbb{Z}_2$, $i=1,\dots,n_2$ and $Z_{O(V_{n_2+1})}(V_{n_2+1})/Z^0_{O(V_{n_2+1})}(V_{n_2+1})=\{1\}$. Take $g_i\in Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ such that $g_iZ^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ generates $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n_2$. Let $\tilde{g}_i=g_1\oplus Id\oplus\cdots\oplus g_i\oplus\cdots\oplus Id$, $i=2,\dots,n_2$. We have $\tilde{g}_i\in Z$ and $\tilde{g}_i\notin Z^0$. We also have the image of $\tilde{g}_{i_1}\cdots\tilde{g}_{i_p}$'s, $2\leq i_1<\cdots<i_p\leq n_2$, $p=1,\dots,n_2-1$, in Z/Z^0 are not equal to each other. Moreover $\tilde{g}_i^2\in Z^0$. Hence the $\tilde{g}_iZ^0$'s generates a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_2-1}$ in Z/Z^0.

Case2: $\chi(\lambda_s)>\lambda_s/2$. Then $i_{n_2}=s$. Let $V_j=(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})^{m_{i_{j-1}+1}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_{j-1}+1})}\cdots(\lambda_{i_j})^{m_{i_j}}_{\chi(\lambda_{i_j})}$, $j=1,\dots,n_2$, where $i_0=0$. Then $V=V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n_2}$. Each V_i is non-defective. We have $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)=\mathbb{Z}_2$, $i=1,\dots,n_2$. Take $g_i\in Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ such that $g_iZ^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$ generates $Z_{O(V_i)}(V_i)/Z^0_{O(V_i)}(V_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n_2$. Let $\tilde{g}_i=g_1\oplus Id\oplus\cdots\oplus g_i\oplus\cdots\oplus Id$, $i=2,\cdots,n_2$. The $\tilde{g}_iZ^0$'s generates a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_2-1}$ in Z/Z^0. $\square$

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor George Lusztig for his guidance, encouragement and help during this research.

References

[1] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Asterisque 100(1981).

[2] W.H. Hesselink, Nilpotency in Classical Groups over a Field of Characteristic 2. Math. Z. 166(1979), 165-181.

[3] S. Kato, On the geometry of exotic nilpotent cones. (2006) http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0607478v1.

[4] G. Lusztig, Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group. Invent. Math. 75(1984), no.2, 205-272.

[5] G. Lusztig, Character sheaves on disconnected groups. II. Represent. Theory 8(2004), 72-124(electronic).

[6] G. Lusztig, Character sheaves II. Adv. in Math. 57(1985), no.3, 226-265.

[7] G. Lusztig, A class of irreducible representations of a Weyl group. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 41(1979), no. 3, 323-335.

[8] N. Spaltenstein, Nilpotent Classes and Sheets of Lie Algebras in Bad Characteristic. Math. Z. 181(1982), 31-48.

[9] N. Spaltenstein, Nilpotent classes in Lie algebras of type F_4 over fields of characteristic 2. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 30(1984), no. 3, 517-524.

[10] T.A. Springer, Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups. Invent. Math. 36(1976), 173-207.

[11] T.A. Springer, Linear algebraic groups. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, 9. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.

[12] R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 366. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[13] T. Xue, Nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebras over F_{2^n} and the Springer correspondence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105 (2008), no. 4, 1126-1128.

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `txue@math.mit.edu`